

<b>Veranstaltungsnummer, -titel, Dozent(in)</b>
International Power and Domestic Politics in Global Governance (IntBez, Teil II; IntStrukt, Teil II) Do 14-16:00 Digital Inna Andreeva, M.A.
<b>Beschreibung</b>
The legitimacy and the efficiency of global governance institutions and fora, which were primarily created as a platform for collective regulation and resolution of global issues, have been challenged in recent decades. First, due to the changing international environment, including the rise of emerging powers, a pressure for review of global governance structures emerged (e.g., reforms of UN Security Council and IMF). Second, a growing number of issues in different policy areas such as security, finance, trade, environment, migration, and health required resolution. As a complicated process reflecting actors' diverging positions, the search for common solutions has not always been successful (e.g. WTO negotiations). Why does global governance face these challenges nowadays? Why do the actors' positions towards global governance issues diverge, and how are they shaped? Under what conditions are actors inclined to coalition building, and what influences their negotiation power? In the quest for answering these questions, seminar participants will be able to (1) examine the global governance structures (UN institutions, IMF, WTO, G20), focusing on the performance of the established and emerging powers (G7, BRICS), and consider the existing challenges of global governance in different policy areas, (2) explore the theories of International Relations (IR) that focus on power-based (neorealism) and domestic politics (liberalism, societal approach) explanations, and (3) apply the theoretical knowledge to specific empirical case-studies for the analysis of the driving forces behind the actors' positions.
<b>Voraussetzungen für Studiennachweise / Modulprüfungen</b>
Studiennachweis: aktive Teilnahme an der wöchentlichen Diskussion der jeweiligen Referate, fristgerechte Abgabe der Literaturberichte in der 3. Und 4 Sitzung und Übernahme eines Referats mit Thesenpapier, PowerPoint-Präsentation und Diskussionsfragen. Leistungsnachweis: wie Studiennachweis inklusive Hausarbeit bzw. Mündliche Prüfung.
<b>Teilnahmevoraussetzungen</b>
Teilnahme an der Vorlesung „Einführung in die Internationalen Beziehungen“, Anmeldung per Campus Office, Anfertigung von Literaturberichten (eine Seite Zusammenfassung pro Text) zu den untenstehenden Texten bis zur 3. und 4. Sitzung. Ausreichende Kenntnisse der englischen Sprache.
<b>Literaturhinweise</b>
Karns, M.P., Mingst, K.A. and Stiles, K.W. (2015): Chapter 1: The Challenges of Global Governance, in: Karns, M.P. et al. (eds.) International Organizations. The Politics and Processes of Global Governance (3rd ed.), LynneRiener: Boulder, 1-25. Baumann et al. (2001): Neorealist Foreign Policy Theory, in: Rittberger, V. (ed.) German Foreign Policy since Unification: Theories and Case Studies, Manchester: Manchester University Press, 37-67. Tussie, D. (2018): “Set to Shake Up Global Economic Governance: Can the BRICS Be Dismissed?” Global Governance: A Review of Multilateralism and International Organizations 24, no 3: 321–30. Begg, I. (2020): Responses to the COVID-19 Economic Crisis: Challenges for Global Governance. Global Perspectives, 1 (1). <a href="https://doi.org/10.1525/gp.2020.16700">https://doi.org/10.1525/gp.2020.16700</a> . Schirm, S. A. (2020): Refining domestic politics theories of IPE: A societal approach to governmental preferences. Politics. 1-17. <a href="https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0263395719896980">https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0263395719896980</a> Moravcsik, A. (1997): Taking Preferences Seriously. A Liberal Theory of International Politics. International Organization, 51(4), 515-55.